## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/590.885 DICKE ET AL. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Flemming Saether 3677 Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_ All Participants: (3) \_\_\_\_\_. (1) Flemming Saether. (4) \_\_\_\_\_ (2) Steven Oberholtzer. Date of Interview: 21 April 2010 Time: Type of Interview: ☐ Video Confe Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant was called to confirm that the withdrawn dependent were conforming to claim 1 as amended since they were to be rejoined. In response, applicant provided amended claims which were argeed to entered as an examiner's amendment. Part III. 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)